

PLANNING — DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

1529. Hon Ben Dawkins to the minister representing the Minister for Planning:

Since the Government's election in 2017, I ask:

- (a) how many requests or representations from 'aggrieved persons' have been made under:
 - (i) section 211(1)(a) of the *Planning and Development Act 2005 (Act)*; and
 - (ii) section 211(1)(b) of the *Planning and Development Act 2005 (Act)*;
- (b) what local planning schemes did each request or representation relate to and what was the nature of each of these requests or representations;
- (c) which requests or representations did the Minister determine not to take any action under section 211(2) of the Act;
- (d) which requests or representations did the Minister determine to take any action under section 211(2) of the Act and what was the nature of that action;
- (e) which requests or representations were referred to the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) and what were the recommendations of the SAT and what was the nature of any report received from SAT;
- (f) which requests or representations (if any) became the subject of orders under section 211(4) of the Act and what was the nature of those orders;
- (g) which requests or representations (if any) referred to in paragraph 6 above were appealed to a judge under section 211(6) of the Act; and
- (h) what is the case name for each of any appeals referred to in paragraph 7 above?

Hon Jackie Jarvis replied:

- (a)–(h) The Department of Planning, Lands, and Heritage has advised that the requested data would require a manual review of six years of correspondence. This is not considered to be a reasonable use of government resources.

Should the Member have a more specific question, the Minister will endeavour to provide a response.